

**Patent Examination Board
Self-Assessment Report**



Patent Examination Board

Self-assessment report

April 2016

PEB
95 Chancery Lane
London WC2A 1DT
Tel: 020 7440 9369

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Foreword | 4 |
| Purpose of this report | 5 |
| Introduction | 5 |
| Constitutional matters | 5 |
| Terms of Reference (ToR) | 6 |
| Financial matters | 6 |
| Reporting and approvals | 6 |
| Complaints report | 7 |
| Enquiries about Results (EAR) received and processed with outcomes | 7 |
| Status of PEB working instructions | 7 |
| Issues arising from Examiners' reports | 7 |
| Reasonable adjustments granted | 7 |
| Special Consideration requests made | 7 |
| Quality Assurance of the setting process | 8 |
| Quality Assurance of the marking process | 8 |
| Number of administrative appeals lodged and outcomes | 8 |
| Results and pass rates | 8 |
| Incidents | 8 |
| Risk Register | 9 |
| Issues arising from invigilator reports | 9 |
| Quality and status of examiners | 9 |
| Exemptions granted | 9 |
| Examination Entries | 9 |
| Third party suppliers | 9 |
| Surveys | 9 |
| Syllabus review | 10 |
| Summary | 10 |
| Appendices | 12 |
| Appendix 1 PEB GB Approval and Reporting Schedule | 12 |
| Appendix 2 Progress against the Terms of Reference April 2016 | 14 |
| Appendix 3 PEB Minimum Reserve Policy | 18 |
| Appendix 4 PEB Budgetary Policy | 19 |
| Appendix 5 PEB 2016 – 2017 Forecast Budget Summary (April 2016 to March 2017) | 20 |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

| | |
|--|----|
| Appendix 6 Complaints log | 23 |
| Appendix 7 Enquires about results 2014 – 2015..... | 24 |
| Appendix 8 Status of the PEB Working Instructions..... | 25 |
| Appendix 9 Reasonable adjustments | 26 |
| Appendix 10 Special considerations..... | 27 |
| Appendix 11 Quality assurance of the setting process | 29 |
| Appendix 12 Results and pass rates | 30 |
| Appendix 13 Incidents | 32 |
| Appendix 14 Risk Register | 33 |
| Appendix 15 Examination entries | 34 |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Foreword

The Patent Examination Board (PEB) has now been operating for two full years and this is our second annual report.

Two themes underpinned the first year of our operation: first, the need for greater transparency and second to ensure that the needs of candidates were our primary concern.

These themes have continued to be central to our work. All PEB policies and procedures are fully operational, clearly documented and available on our website. In addition to being more transparent than in the past, they comply with best practice in the world of examinations. These are reviewed and revised as necessary on an annual basis.

We have established good channels of communication with representatives of the CIPA Informals who bring to our attention issues of concern to PEB candidates. We strive to meet the needs of candidates, whilst at the same time maintaining the integrity of the examinations. For example, we are providing a detailed breakdown of marks on sample examination scripts from 2015 and examiners' reports provide useful information which candidates tell us they value. We are currently considering ways in which the PEB might provide additional support to candidates, but there are complex issues about conflicts of interest that an examination board needs to assure itself about before committing to particular support mechanisms.

External review and validation of the PEB has been a new and extremely important aspect of our work this year. The Quality Assurance Agency (QAA) for Higher Education completed a [review](#) in June 2015. Whilst pleased with QAA's commendations of good practice in key areas of the qualifying examinations and their quality assurance, we are not complacent, and recognise that further improvements need to be made and have developed an [Action Plan](#) to take forward the recommendations. In particular, during 2016 we will be undertaking important reviews of the rationale and structure of the Foundation Certificate examinations and we will be working alongside IPReg on research into the historically low pass rates in FD4 (P6).

The qualifying examinations represent the PEB's core activity. However, during 2015 we have also developed and successfully implemented the examinations for the Intellectual Property Certificates in Litigation (IPLC) and the Introductory Certificate in Patent Administration (ICPA). The Governance Board is indebted to the work (much of it behind the scenes) of all the PEB examiners and of the CIPA staff seconded to work on PEB qualifications – without them, the achievements detailed in this report would have been impossible.



Robert Taylor, Chair of the PEB Governance Board (GB)

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Purpose of this report

It is a requirement of the PEB constitution that it produces an annual self-assessment report.

This report is intended to:

- provide information to all interested stakeholders, including the PEB GB, the PEB Examiners, CIPA, IPReg and candidates about the status and conduct of the PEB and its ability to discharge satisfactorily its responsibilities to conduct examinations which are fit for purpose, reliable and valid;
- provide a single source of data by which to monitor improvements in subsequent years.

This is the first PEB self-assessment report that provides comparison data by which to measure trends and the effect of improvements.

Introduction

The report covers the period January 2015 to March 2016. Future reports will cover the period April to March. This change is to accommodate the reporting on the Introductory Patent Administrator's Course examinations (see below). During this period the PEB GB continued with its policy of quality and service improvements. The PEB also adopted, in addition to the Qualifying examinations, the delivery of the examinations related to the CIPA Litigation Skills Course (LSC) which is IPReg regulated and the CIPA Introductory Patent Administrator's Course (IPAC). This report relates to all these examinations for the period.

The objectives in 2015 were to:

- provide further improved transparency for candidates;
- provide further support for candidates;
- embed and build on quality processes introduced in 2014;
- safely deliver the IPLC examinations
- effect a seamless transition from the previous regime to the PEB for the ICPA examinations;
- act on recommendations in the June 2015 QAA report relating to the qualifying examinations;
- ensure candidates could have confidence in the examinations offered by the PEB.

The PEB GB approach to quality is to:

- follow standard policy and processes for key areas;
- monitor their implementation;
- seek feedback on the impact of the policy and/or process;
- amend the policy and/or process in the light of evidence from the monitoring and feedback.

Constitutional matters

The PEB GB met formally as follows:

March 2015;

June 2015;

September 2015;

December 2015; and

March 2016.

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

It held a teleconference in October 2015 to discuss urgent matters relating to correspondence with IPReg about the QAA Report and the IPReg Foundation Review.

All the meetings were quorate. The standard agenda (published in the [PEB's 2014 Self-Assessment Report](#)) was used at all formal meetings with other items being added as required, and in accordance with the GB approval and reporting schedule (Appendix 1).

The GB re-elected Hilary Maxwell-Hyslop to serve on the board as a lay member for a further three years, and approved Dr Robert Taylor to serve as chair for a second year. Debbie Slater was selected and appointed as the second Patent Attorney member of the GB to replace the outgoing Tony Luckhurst whose period of office ended in this period. There were no other changes.

Terms of Reference (ToR)

The ToR were agreed by the GB in September 2014. They were designed to be read and used alongside the PEB Constitution.

The QAA independent review of the PEB recommended that:

as part of its Self-Assessment for 2015, PEB should explicitly consider the progress which it has made on each of its Terms of Reference and the continued relevance of these.

Appendix 2 provides progress made against the ToR as of April 2016. Each item is thought to be of continuing relevance unless a comment is made about possible alternative future actions.

Financial matters

The PEB held an operating surplus of £31,648 at accounting year end March 2015. It budgeted for and expects to return an operating loss for the financial year 2015 – 2016 as it made provision for the production of bank papers for the qualifying examinations.

The PEB has developed and adopted in agreement with IPReg a Minimum Reserve Policy (Appendix 3) and a Budgetary Policy (Appendix 4).

The 2016 – 2017 forecast budgets can be found at Appendix 5.

Reporting and approvals

Appendix 1 presents the PEB GB reporting and approval schedule. Since the 2014 report this schedule no longer has the interim reports submitted to IPReg during the PEB's first year of operation, and 'Procedures' has been added to the approvals schedule.

In addition to approving [policies](#) to accommodate the IPLC and ICPA, the PEB GB has amended three policies (Appeals, Malpractice and Exemptions) for all 2016 examinations, and developed and published the Missed Examination Policy.

Patent Examination Board

Self-Assessment Report

Complaints report

The complaints report is submitted to the GB in March of each year. Complaints are handled in accordance with working instructions which mirror the published PEB Customer Feedback Policy.

Compared to 2014 there were fewer complaints, the majority from students of the IPAC.

In 2014 there were 21 complaints from teachers, examiners and students. They fell into two categories - administration (18 complaints) and change (three complaints). In this reporting period there were eight complaints; five from students related to the ICPA examination in London, and two related to the qualifying examinations. Please see Appendix 6 for the 2015 – 2016 Complaints log.

Enquiries about Results (EAR) received and processed with outcomes

Appendix 7 compares the numbers of EaRs received to date in 2015 – 2016 with those received in 2014 – 2015. The numbers of EaRs lodged remained steady, and as the total entry size has gone up they represent a smaller percentage of the entry. To date one Enquiry about Results enquiry at Stage 2 has resulted in a change to the mark awarded from a pass to a fail.

Status of PEB working instructions

The PEB administration has completed a comprehensive set of working instructions to ensure the office procedures are consistent, known and followed. The current status of these working instructions can be found in Appendix 8. These are reviewed and are amended at least annually or as required. Some will be rewritten shortly as the PEB will adopt the new CIPA database for the enrolment of students in 2015 – 2016.

Issues arising from Examiners' reports

The 2015 Examiner Reports are available in full on the PEB website. In addition, comments were invited from examiners for the attention of the PEB GB. These were reported to the GB in March 2016, and appropriate action is being taken where necessary and agreed.

Reasonable adjustments granted

Candidates apply for reasonable adjustments on the examination application form. A report comparing adjustments requested and granted in 2014 with those in 2015 can be found at Appendix 9. As a percentage of the entry the numbers for qualifying examinations remain steady (3 – 4%) and for ICPA and IPLC this data is presented as benchmark data for future iterations. There were no requests for reasonable adjustments for the IPLC examinations. The PEB is currently exploring the presentation of its written examination papers to make them more accessible.

Special Consideration requests made

A report comparing special consideration requests received by examination and considered at awarding meetings can be found at Appendix 10. There was an increase in requests from qualifying examination students principally in relation to outside noise at one venue. As a result the venue checklist has been amended to research about the possibility of one-off events or festivals being staged outside the venue at the examination time. All special consideration requests were reported at the relevant awarding meeting. The results of candidates who had lodged a special consideration were considered statistically against the cohort as a whole. No adjustments were made.

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Quality Assurance of the setting process

The quality assurance process for the setting question papers, described in the [2014 version](#) of this report, was adopted for the examination papers written in 2015. A schedule of a typical question paper production process, adopted for all question paper setting, can be found in Appendix 11.

Quality Assurance of the marking process

All examiners were required to attend a standardisation meeting at which the marking of common scripts was intended to be reviewed and the mark scheme was scrutinised and amended. Not all the Final Diploma Examination standardisation meetings achieved the aim of full standardisation at the meeting, as not all the mark schemes were at the required level of detail. This was addressed during the meeting so all examiners left with detailed knowledge of the mark scheme. As before, new examiners had to submit a mid-marking sample of their marking to their supervisor.

Final Diploma examinations are double blind marked, and where these two marks fell outside stated boundaries, were subject to a further review process, which included the Principal Examiner, and where appropriate the Chief Examiner, to arrive at the mark to be awarded.

IPLC and ICPA examination scripts are marked in one day at a marking meeting. This has proved effective in terms of efficiency, setting the standard, and permits close monitoring and clerical checking of marking. It has also facilitated a short period of time (approx. one month) from the examination day to results issue date.

Awarding meetings, following a set agenda, were held for all examinations. At each meeting rank order, conflict of interest, special consideration, malpractice and any issues from invigilator reports were considered. Statistical analysis of various kinds are also used at these meetings before deciding on the final marks to be awarded.

Number of administrative appeals lodged and outcomes

One administrative appeal was lodged against a decision not to allow a candidate to sit an examination at a time after the published time. The board upheld its original decision. The PEB has for 2016 examinations published a [Missed Examinations Policy](#).

Results and pass rates

Results were issued on the published dates. The qualifying examination pass rates generally fell within previous boundaries as displayed in Appendix 12, although some annual changes were noted. The pass rates for IPLC were close to 100%, and the ICPA data is provided as a benchmark. The ICPA pass rate is in line with the pass rate from the previous CIPA Patent Administration Course. The results data are reviewed after each examinations series to determine whether there are any assessment issues that need to be taken into account for the next series.

Incidents

There were three minor incidents (three also in 2014) reported to the PEB GB for this reporting period. None required remedial action, as the decision was that none of the incidents resulted in a compromise of the assessment materials. See Appendix 13 for the incidents reported in this reporting period.

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Risk Register

The PEB risk register is updated and reviewed at least quarterly. Its status as of 7 March 2016 is shown in Appendix 14. Two issues under close scrutiny during the year have been migration by CIPA to a new database and a new website, and the potential impact of IPReg policies to the financial viability of the PEB.

Issues arising from invigilator reports

Invigilators were trained and issued with instructions. All examinations were fully staffed, and invigilators were required to submit a report on each examination within twenty four hours of the examination. Matters arising were compiled and reported to the awarding meetings, where, if required, actions were taken.

Quality and status of examiners

The 2015 examinations were fully resourced from the examiner perspective. There were two new Principal Examiners appointed to the Foundation Certificate, and some new marking examiners to the Final Diploma. The unexplained absence of a Principal Examiner during the marking period was addressed quickly, and that vacancy has now been permanently filled.

IPLC and ICPA examiners were successfully recruited and trained.

All examiners received, as appropriate, training on setting question papers and marking instructions.

All examiners were subject to performance appraisal via a quality assurance report completed by the supervising examiner. Where appropriate feedback was given to the examiner.

Exemptions granted

There was one exemption granted for FC2 English Law on the basis of a recognised Law Degree.

Examination Entries

There was a rise 2015 entry data increase of 35% in entries for Foundation Certificate and a 1.5% decrease in Final Diploma entries.

The detail can be found at Appendix 15.

The IPLC and ICPA data is provided as benchmark data for future years.

Third party suppliers

The hoped for benefits of a new supplier of print and distribution have been realised, and the PEB expects to continue to work with them in 2016 and 2017. The benefits were felt by candidates, invigilators, examiners and PEB administration. The new technical drawing supplier was less successful but we have given feedback, and the PEB will continue to work with them in 2016.

Surveys

PEB GB again surveyed students after the 2015 examinations. A summary analysis and the full report is published [here](#).

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

As in 2014, the 2015 all the qualifying examination examiners have been surveyed as to their views of the quality assurance of marking, including standardisation and supervision, awarding and the trial use of the competence descriptor. The results of this survey will be shared with all examiners, and used by the PEB GB and the Examinations Committees to further refine these processes.

Syllabus review

There were no significant changes to the qualifying examinations syllabuses. The LSC and IPAC syllabuses were new in 2015.

Summary

The PEB has continued to update and refine its policies and procedures to ensure it accommodates any new qualifications and reflects best practice in the field of examinations and qualifications.

It has sought feedback by surveying students, Governance Board members and examiners and this, combined with unsolicited feedback has provided information on how to further improve and refine these policies and procedures.

It has considered and is acting upon the recommendations in the QAA Report in agreement with IPReg. Many of the actions following the recommendations have been completed, but there is important work relating to a review of the rationale and structure of the Foundation examinations and a review of performance in FD4 (P6) to be completed during 2016.

On results days, in addition to the candidates' unmarked answer scripts, the examiners' reports, the mark schemes being published on the PEB website, the PEB have, in response to candidate requests also shown the breakdown of marks awarded for each answer script published. It is also seeking ways of further improving feedback to candidates, perhaps through an examiner commentary on a marked script.

The PEB has trialled the use of performance descriptors for the qualifications in order to clearly establish a statement of the minimum competences that must be demonstrated in order to pass any examination. This topic will form the subject of further discussion with examiners, and where appropriate with IPReg.

Survey evidence suggests that quality of delivery of the qualifying examinations has been maintained and in some areas improved. Further improvements will be introduced in 2016 as required.

Implementation of the new IPLC and IPCA qualifications has been successful and benchmark data collected against which future delivery can be monitored.

Good working relationships with key stakeholders, including candidates, CIPA and IPReg have been established and continue to improve. Further work will be carried out in 2016 to develop more effective relationships with employers. The PEB endorses the CIPA IP Inclusive initiative, and will continue to ensure that the qualifications it offers take full account of the needs of all candidates.

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

2014 was a year of transition from the JEB to the PEB. 2015 has been a year largely of consolidation for the qualifying examinations and the development and implementation of new IPLC and IPCA qualifications. 2016 will be largely a year of consolidation for the new qualifications and important reviews of key features of the qualifying examinations.

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendices

Appendix 1 PEB GB Approval and Reporting Schedule

| Reporting | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| No | Report type | Date due |
| 1 | Self-Assessment report (annual) | March |
| 2 | Confirmation of QA processes of marking followed, with exception reporting and issues highlighted | Before results issue |
| 3 | Confirmation setting examiners in place and trained | March/April (depends on result issue date) |
| 4 | Confirmation marking examiners in place and trained | July/Aug |
| 5 | Issues arising from invigilator reports | December |
| 6 | Question paper production process (third party supplier) | December |
| 7 | Examiner's comments | March |
| Approval | | |
| No | Report type | Date due |
| 1 | Budget | December |
| 2 | Examiners | |
| | Examiner roles and responsibilities | December |
| | Examiner selection criteria and allocation size | December |
| | Examiner instructions, induction and training schedule | December |
| | Examiner report formats | December |
| | Examiner appraisal criteria | December |
| 3 | Quality assurance of assessment | |
| | Question paper quality assurance/setting model | December |
| | Quality of marking model | September |
| | Syllabus review | As required |

**Patent Examination Board
Self-Assessment Report**

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| | Question paper production process with third party | December |
| | Review of level descriptors for finals and standard setting for foundation papers | December |
| 4 | Policies and Regulations | |
| | Examination Regulations | September |
| | All PEB policies and procedures | September |
| 5 | Communications | |
| | Approve the annual Publication of Information Schedule for the forthcoming cycle (format and indicative content) | December |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 2 Progress against the Terms of Reference April 2016

| | Strategic leadership | |
|----|---|---|
| 1. | Ensure that the Patent Examination Board (PEB) operates within the parameters of its Constitution | All PEB operations have operated within the parameters of the Constitution, and reference to the Constitution has been made whenever necessary. As policies and procedures have been developed, the relevance of the current Constitution has been reviewed. Proposed changes to the Constitution, to provide greater clarity or to reflect emerging practice have been developed over the past two years and will be agreed at the June 2016 GB meeting. All recommended changes will be submitted to IPReg for approval following the June 2016 GB meeting. |
| 2. | Ensure that all Regulatory Requirements are met | All Regulatory requirements have been met and clarifications of requirements sought from IPReg when necessary (for example regarding exemptions). PEB has contributed to the review of IPReg's regulatory requirements and suggested improvements, particularly in terms of the benefits of more clearly signaling the differences in the requirements that apply to course and examination providers (HE institutions) and examination-only providers (PEB). |
| 3. | Approve and contribute to the development of the strategic direction of the PEB | Strategic developments approved include the development of a new Litigation Skills qualification and a Certificate in Patent Administration. Work on the development of a three-year business plan commenced early in 2016 and will conclude in September 2016 – this will more clearly signal the longer-term strategic direction of the PEB. |
| | Monitoring Effective and Efficient Delivery of Strategy | |
| 4. | Ensure that the Business Plan provides sufficient resources to deliver the agreed strategy and monitor their effective deployment | Currently, there is no explicit business plan. Annual budgets have been developed, which cover all PEB activities and resources deployed to ensure that all activities can be completed on an annual cycle. A three-year business plan and budget will be developed for approval in September 2016. |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| 5. | Ensure that there is sufficient competent staff available to deliver forward plans and that succession plans or appropriate contingencies are in place | There have been sufficient competent staff available and contingencies (such as employment of temporary staff) have been used when necessary. Succession planning for replacement and/or re-appointment of GB members is in place and successfully implemented (one re-appointment and one replacement). More attention needs to be given to succession plans and contingencies for CIPA seconded staff. |
| Quality Assurance and Risk Management | | |
| 6. | Ensure the effectiveness of PEB as an examining body by: | |
| 6.1 | monitoring the quality assurance and co-ordination of the development of PEB qualifications, including the development of assessment materials, and their operation; | This has been the key role of the GB and all new developments (eg Litigation Skills Qualification and Certificate in Patent Administration) and revisions to policies and procedures (eg appeals policy) and the frameworks for the development of assessment materials have been monitored and approved by the GB. |
| 6.2 | monitoring the impact of the equal opportunities policy in relation to PEB qualifications; | Data on candidates' characteristics have been collected annually to determine whether or not any candidates have been inadvertently disadvantaged by assessment materials – there is no evidence to date to suggest this has occurred. Examiners have been provided with explicit guidance on minimising any unintentional bias in examination materials. Further methods of supporting candidates with particular physical disabilities will be considered at the June 2016 GB meeting. |
| 6.3 | advising on the formulation and implementation of policy proposals for the development of the PEB assessment systems for qualifications and their operation; | See 6.1 above. Merge with 6.1 in future. |
| 6.4 | assuring the quality of all processes and procedures used for the development | PEB GB members have attended all examiners meetings to monitor compliance with policies and procedures and to bring any deficiencies to the |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

| | | | |
|----|-----|---|---|
| | | and delivery of PEB qualifications; | attention of the GB for further discussion and action as required. |
| | 6.5 | receiving reports and recommendations on examination performance and related issues and advising on further actions to be taken; | Detailed statistics on examination performance, candidate surveys and examiners' reports have been received by the PEB GB on a regular basis and actions agreed as required. |
| | 6.6 | receiving reports and recommendations on the performance of examiners and advising on further actions to be taken; | <p>Reports on both Chief Examiners' performance have been prepared by GB members attending relevant meetings and were considered by the GB. Incidents of failure to comply with requirements by all examiners (and requiring the attention of the GB) have been considered as required and a full report will be brought to the GB in June 2016.</p> <p>Continue, but consider if full delegation to Executive is more appropriate in the future.</p> |
| | 6.7 | overseeing a programme of succession planning for examiners to ensure continuity of provision of a high quality team; | <p>The GB has not been involved in a programme of succession planning for examiners.</p> <p>Consider full delegation to the Executive in future.</p> |
| | 6.8 | monitoring accounts and budgets with reference to PEB income and expenditure to ensure that sufficient resources are available to deliver high quality assessments; | Accounts have been reviewed quarterly at each GB meeting, although improvements are currently being sought in terms of the clarity of the accounts and the availability of full monthly accounts. |
| | 6.9 | operating a risk management process to identify and respond to significant and material risks to PEB. | A risk and incidents register has been reviewed quarterly by the GB and improvements to the format agreed. All risks have been identified and mitigated appropriately. |
| | | Stakeholder engagement | |
| 7. | | Ensure a specific focus on the experience of examination candidates throughout all activities | Annual candidate surveys have continued and increasingly strong links with the CIPA Informals established. Candidates have been consulted on, for examination, proposed changes to examination dates and publication of results. Further approaches |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

| | | |
|-----|--|---|
| | | to supporting candidates will be considered by the GB in June 2016. |
| 8. | Approve and monitor the policies for appeals from candidates | The appeals policy has been approved, monitored and subsequent changes considered and approved. |
| 9. | Engage transparently with all key stakeholders | Effective engagement has continued with candidates, CIPA and IPReg. Further work is needed to establish effective engagement with employers. |
| 10. | Ensure effective consultation with relevant stakeholders on any significant changes to policies, procedures and products | Effective consultation with candidates (eg on changes to examination dates, the publication of results and support for candidates), with IPReg (eg on the PEB constitution, and the QAA action plan, including reviews of Foundation qualifying examinations and FD4, formerly P6) and with CIPA (eg on the Certificate in Patent Administration and the use of post-nominals in qualifying examinations) have taken place. |
| 11. | Ensure that all PEB reports and publications are clear, concise and accurate | Considerable attention has been given to the production of clear, concise and accurate reports, and no significant deficiencies have been brought to the PEB's attention. |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 3 PEB Minimum Reserve Policy

PEB Minimum (Budgetary) Reserve Policy

December 2015

Purpose of the Minimum Reserve

A Reserve will be established by the PEB to ensure the financial independence of the PEB and continuity of funding. Any one of a number of events, such as those listed below, could impact substantially on the profitability of the PEB in any one year. It is important that the PEB avoid any sudden, large increases in examination fees that could penalise candidates in the short term. Thus the PEB will accumulate a reserve over an extended period of time.

Events covered by the Reserve

1. Unexpected reductions in candidate numbers.
2. Security breaches requiring additional set(s) of examination papers to be written.
3. Non-routine requirement for additional/replacement examiners.
4. Cancellation of examinations (for example due to adverse weather, transport problems).
5. Exceptional CIPA staff absences requiring additional paid 'cover' (for example costs of temporary staff/consultants at critical periods during the examination cycle).
6. Failure of any third-party supplier (for example failure of third party responsible for examination venue provision).
7. Unscheduled syllabus review/development.
8. Unscheduled assessment development.
9. Unscheduled research/monitoring/evaluation.
10. Legal challenges to the PEB not covered by CIPA's Professional Indemnity Insurance.

Size of the Reserve

A Minimum Reserve Budget of £132,000 will be established. This is equivalent to 50% of the PEB annual operating costs (based on 2016-17 costs).

The annual operating surplus will be achieved through a combination of reductions in operating costs where possible and increases in examination fees where necessary. Increases in examination fees will be kept to a necessary minimum, without creating unacceptable compromises in examination quality.

Timescale for establishment of the Reserve

PEB will aim to achieve an annual operating surplus of 10% of revenue income and will not, as a matter of principle, normally seek to cross subsidise one examination set with another, in accordance with its approved (June 2015) Budgetary Policy.

This surplus will be accumulated over a minimum period of five years.

The Reserve will be monitored annually, and adjustments to the required annual operating surplus calculated.

Once the Minimum Reserve has been reached, any additional surplus funds will be used to stabilise annual increases in examination fees, reduce examination fees or invest in quality.

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 4 PEB Budgetary Policy

1. Introduction and background

The PEB Constitution states:

‘Financial Administration

1. The PEB shall be responsible for its financial affairs and operate in a manner that is fully independent from the fiscal management of CIPA, save that financial transactions shall be managed and reported through CIPA’s accounting systems.
2. The PEB shall be financially self-supporting and shall accumulate an appropriate reserve for contingencies such as appeals, legal challenges and excessive fluctuations in the demand for examinations. Any additional funds shall be used to reduce examination fees or to invest in the quality of PEB’s processes. The income of the PEB shall consist solely of such fees and payments as the PEB requires in order undertake its activities as an examining body.’

2. PEB budgetary policies

The PEB will:

1. Aim to generate in any one financial year an operating surplus of 10% of revenue across each set of its examinations¹.
2. Accumulate a reserve of no more than £150k.
3. Will not, as a matter of principle, normally seek to cross subsidise one examination set with another².

¹ E.g. the Qualifying Examinations as whole will be forecast to generate a 10% operating surplus.

² E.g. any operating surplus from the IPLC examinations will not normally be used to subsidise the costs of delivering the Qualifying Examinations.

**Patent Examination Board
Self-Assessment Report**

Appendix 5 PEB 2016 – 2017 Forecast Budget Summary (April 2016 to March 2017)

| PEB Qualifying Examinations Forecast Operating Budget | |
|--|----------------------|
| | Forecast 2016 |
| Entries and Price | |
| Number of foundation entries | 225 |
| Charge per foundation paper | 200 |
| Number of final entries | 620 |
| Charge per final paper | 300 |
| No of Singapore entries | 40 |
| Singapore premium | 120 |
| Forecast Income | |
| Foundation Examinations | 45000 |
| Final Examinations | 186000 |
| Singapore premium | 4800 |
| Exemptions Fee | 200 |
| Exam cancellation fees | 200 |
| EARs | 3500 |
| Forecast Total | 239700 |
| Forecast Expenditure | |
| Examiner Fees | 112,000 |
| Examiner Expenses | 10000 |
| GB Fees | 20,000 |
| GB Expenses | 10000 |
| Exam Logistics | 20000 |
| Invigilation | 3,500 |
| Invigilation expenses | 1,500 |
| Printing | 200 |
| Courier | 1000 |
| Exam Venues | 25000 |
| R and D | 5,000 |
| Bank Charges | 200 |
| Staff admin | 10000 |
| Staff management | 6000 |
| On costs @25% | 4000 |
| Misc. | 2000 |
| Irrecoverable VAT | 2000 |
| Forecast Total | 232,400 |
| Balance | 7,300 |

**Patent Examination Board
Self-Assessment Report**

| PEB Intellectual Property Litigation Certificate Forecast Operating Budget | |
|---|----------------------|
| | Forecast 2016 |
| Entries and Price | |
| Number of IPLC candidates | 60 |
| Charge per entry | 400 |
| Income | 24000 |
| Forecast Expenditure | |
| Examiner Fees | 5600 |
| Examiner Expenses | 750 |
| GB Fees | 600 |
| GB Expenses | 250 |
| Exam Logistics | 0 |
| Invigilation | 0 |
| Invigilation expenses | 100 |
| Printing | 500 |
| Courier | 100 |
| Exam Venue | 2000 |
| Bank Charges | 50 |
| Staff costs (CIPA) admin | 5000 |
| CIPA management | 3000 |
| On costs @25% | 2000 |
| Misc. | 2000 |
| Irrecoverable VAT | 100 |
| Total Expenditure | 22050 |
| | |
| Balance | 1950 |

**Patent Examination Board
Self-Assessment Report**

| PEB ICPA Forecast Operating Budget | |
|---|-----------------|
| | Forecast |
| Entries and Price | |
| ICPA entries | 100 |
| Charge per assessment | 250 |
| Income | 25000 |
| Examiner Fees | 8,800 |
| Examiner Expenses | 1000 |
| GB Fees | 770 |
| GB Expenses | 250 |
| Exam Logistics | 2100 |
| Invigilation | 300 |
| Courier | 100 |
| Exam venues PAC | 3000 |
| Bank Charges | 50 |
| Staff costs admin | 5000 |
| Staff costs management | 0 |
| On costs @25% | 1250 |
| Misc. | 2000 |
| Irrecoverable VAT | 100 |
| Total Expenditure | 24,720 |
| | |
| Balance | 280 |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 6 Complaints log

| Status | Student Year | Entered for | Date received | Date acknowledged | Complaint category | 2nd response date met (if required) |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Student | 2014 | D&C | 16.04.15 | 17.04.15 | Examination results | 24.06.15 |
| Mentor | 2015 | D&C | 26.10.15 | 27.10.15 | Examination | 11.02.16 |
| Students | 2015 | Qualifying examinations | 26.10.15 | 27.10.15 | Noise at venue | 23.02.16 |
| ICPA student | 2015 | ICPA | 01.02.16 | 01.01.16 | Various | n/a |
| ICPA student | 2015 | ICPA | 01.02.16 | 04.02.16 | Various | n/a |
| ICPA student | 2015 | ICPA | 03.02.16 | 04.02.16 | Various | n/a |
| ICPA student | 2015 | ICPA | 01.02.16 | 04.02.16 | Examination | n/a |
| ICPA student | 2015 | ICPA | 01.02.16 | 04.02.16 | Various | n/a |

**Patent Examination Board
Self-Assessment Report**

Appendix 7 Enquires about results 2014 – 2015

| | | 2014 | 2015 |
|------|---------|------|------|
| QE | Stage 1 | 11 | 11 |
| | Stage 2 | 8 | 7 |
| | Stage 3 | 1 | 0 |
| IPLC | Stage 1 | n/a | 1 |
| | Stage 2 | n/a | 1 |
| | Stage 3 | n/a | 0 |
| ICPA | Stage 1 | n/a | 0 |
| | Stage 2 | n/a | 0 |
| | Stage 3 | n/a | 0 |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 8 Status of the PEB Working Instructions

| Log WIs (PEB) 2015 | | | | |
|--------------------|---|----------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Working Instructions | Status | Revision Date | Next revision date |
| 1 | Enrolling students | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 2 | Reasonable Adjustments candidates and special consideration | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 3 | Exemption requests | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 4 | Results (inc. issuing and deadlines) | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 5 | EAR (inc. communications) | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 6 | Establishing exam period and candidate entry window | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 7 | Exam venues and furniture | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 8 | Invigilation process | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 9 | QP production process | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 10 | Printing Processes (inc. return of scripts) | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 11 | Script allocation | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 12 | PEB Payments and receipts | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 13 | Examiners reports & sample scripts for the website | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 14 | Invoices and refunds | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 15 | Complaints handling | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 16 | Servicing the Governance Board | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 17 | Maintaining PEB website | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 18 | Emailing PEB examiners & Board members | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 19 | Prize Giving | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 20 | Reporting to the Governance Board | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 21 | Communications | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 22 | Examiner recruitment | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 23 | Testers | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 24 | Handling confidential documentation | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 25 | Standardisation and awarding process | Complete | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| 26 | EQEs | Complete | Jan-16 | Jan-17 |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 9 Reasonable adjustments

| Examination | Adjustment granted | 2014 QE | 2015 QE | 2016 IPAC |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | Number of requests | Number of requests | Number of requests |
| FC2 (LAW) | Extra time | 1 | 1 | |
| FC4 (D&C) | Extra time | 1 | 1 | |
| FC1 (P1) | Extra time | 1 | 1 | |
| FC3 (P5) | Extra time | 1 | 1 | |
| FC5 (P7) | Extra time | 1 | 1 | |
| FD1 (P2) | Extra time | 4 | 5 | |
| FD1 (P2) | Word processor | 2 | 1 | |
| FD1 (P2) | Coloured lenses/overlay/paper | 1 | 1 | |
| FD1 (P2) | Modified Print | 0 | 1 | |
| FD1 (P2) | Supervised Rest Breaks | 0 | 2 | |
| FD1 (P2) | Examination in separate room | 0 | 1 | |
| FD1 (P2) | Other | 0 | 1 | |
| FD2 (P3) | Extra time | 1 | 1 | |
| FD2 (P3) | Supervised Rest Breaks | 0 | 1 | |
| FD2 (P3) | Word processor | 0 | 2 | |
| FD3 (P4) | Extra Time | 0 | 1 | |
| FD3 (P4) | Supervised Rest Breaks | 0 | 1 | |
| FD3 (P4) | Coloured lenses/overlay/paper | 0 | 1 | |
| FD3 (P4) | Modified Print | 0 | 1 | |
| FD3 (P4) | Other | 0 | 1 | |
| FD3 (P4) | Word processor | 0 | 2 | |
| FD4 (P6) | Extra time | 6 | 5 | |
| FD4 (P6) | Word processor | 2 | 3 | |
| FD4 (P6) | Coloured lenses/overlay/paper | 1 | 0 | |
| ICPA | Extra time | - | - | 1 |
| Total number of requests | | 23 | 36 | 1 |
| Total number of candidates making the requests | | 9 | 13 | 1 |
| Percentage of total examination entries | | 3% | 4% | 1% |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 10 Special considerations

| Examination | Nature of request for special consideration | 2014 | 2015 | | Other |
|-------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | Death or illness of family member | Death or illness of family member | Noise | |
| 2014 | | | | | |
| P2 | Death of close family member | 1 | | | |
| P6 | Death of close family member | 1 | | | |
| P6 | Death of close family member | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2015 | | | | | |
| FD1 | | | | | |
| FD3 | Only part of reasonable adjustment provided. Also affected by noise levels at venue | | | 1 | 1 |
| FD1 | Only part of reasonable adjustment provided. Also affected by noise levels at venue | | | 1 | 1 |
| FD2 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD3 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD2 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD3 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD6 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC5 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC3 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD2 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD3 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC3 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD3 | Illness during the examination | | | | 1 |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

| | | | | | |
|-----|---|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| FC1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC2 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC3 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC5 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC2 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC5 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Serious illness of family member | | 1 | | |
| FD4 | Serious illness of family member | | 1 | | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable. Also illness during examination. Death of close family member | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| FD4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable. Also illness during examination. Death of close family member | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| FC5 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD3 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD2 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FD4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC4 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC1 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC2 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| FC3 | Noise levels at venue unacceptable | | | 1 | |
| | Totals | 3 | 4 | 46 | 5 |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 11 Quality assurance of the setting process

| Stage | Notes | Week commencing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | | 11/04/2016 | 18/04/2016 | 25/04/2016 | 02/05/2016 | 09/05/2016 | 16/05/2016 | 23/05/2016 | 30/05/2016 | 06/06/2016 | 13/06/2016 | 20/06/2016 | 27/06/2016 | 04/07/2016 | 11/07/2016 | 18/07/2016 | 25/07/2016 | 01/08/2016 | 08/08/2016 | 15/08/2016 | 22/08/2016 | 29/08/2016 | 05/09/2016 | 12/09/2016 | |
| 1 | Drafting QP* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Submission of first draft of QPs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Testing period Tester comments to be fed through as ready | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | QPEC 1 ** All examiners (and tester) to attend: FC1 and FC4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | QPEC 2** All examiners (and tester) to attend: FC2, FC3 and FC5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Redrafting/amends papers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Submission of Final drafts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Sign off process (CE/PE) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Proof reader | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Proof reader amends made and approved by PE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Pass for print draft signed off by PE and CE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | QP to printer and test proofing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Examiners Committee meeting dates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | *QP refers to Question Paper, Mark Scheme and Assessment Grid | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ** all meeting dates to be selected by examiners within the window using a doodle poll | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 12 Results and pass rates

Qualifying Examinations

| Year | | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foundation Certificate | FC1 (P1) | 90.91 | 90.32 | 94.44 | 73.53 | 89.47 | 89.47 | 82.76 | 100.0 | 84.00 | 89.29 | 84.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FC2 (Law) | 95.45 | 91.89 | 85.71 | 88.24 | 90.00 | 68.00 | 61.70 | 61.54 | 69.44 | 71.11 | 65.22 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FC3 (P5) | 94.00 | 83.78 | 82.86 | 78.13 | 85.71 | 75.00 | 93.10 | 93.75 | 80.65 | 86.67 | 86.67 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FC4 (D&C) | 76.00 | 69.23 | 75.68 | 81.82 | 65.63 | 70.27 | 64.86 | 47.27 | 68.33 | 69.09 | 84.21 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FC5 (P7) | 94.76 | 94.70 | 88.89 | 96.15 | 86.21 | 83.33 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Diploma | FD1 (P2) | 57.79 | 67.79 | 54.65 | 48.85 | 52.17 | 54.17 | 50.62 | 66.51 | 59.72 | 51.53 | 51.08 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FD2 (P3) | 44.86 | 50.89 | 58.88 | 53.54 | 51.30 | 45.35 | 60.33 | 55.56 | 44.14 | 50.36 | 51.77 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FD3 (P4) | 60.78 | 60.19 | 68.63 | 62.50 | 57.28 | 55.81 | 65.35 | 39.34 | 57.01 | 44.78 | 50.34 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FD4 (P6) | 38.81 | 41.62 | 43.61 | 36.45 | 42.92 | 54.21 | 39.17 | 40.87 | 55.69 | 46.40 | 44.40 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

| Year | | 2015 | Year | 2016 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | |
| IPLC Written Module | July | 95.83% | ICPA | 89.29% |
| | | | | |
| | December | 100.00% | | |
| | | | | |
| IPLC Oral Module | July | 100.00% | | |
| | | | | |
| | December | 100.00% | | |
| | | | | |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 13 Incidents

| No | Narrative | Reported | When | Decision | Actions taken/planned |
|----|--|------------------------|------------|---------------------------|---|
| 1 | Assistant to the CE for LSC received copy of timetable for the oral examinations including candidate names and candidate numbers. | To PEB by CE | 11.06.15 | No compromise | 1. PEB contacted CE's assistant to confirm information had not been read and had now been destroyed. 2. PEB to send information/files separately to meeting invitations (CE has requested his assistant be sent all diary dates/meeting invitations to manage his calendar) to ensure confidentiality. |
| 2 | London venue for qualifying examinations advised week before the examinations that they could not accommodate the number of candidates we had advised for the examination. | To PEB Admin by venue | 05.10.2015 | Resolved | Venue admitted liability, arranged alternate venue (still at original venue, just across the road and so different address details to what had been advised to candidates) and desk hire at no additional charge to PEB. Also providing full signage and staff to direct candidates. PEB admin contacted all candidates and invigilators to advise the change of venue, and updated the PEB website to confirm this also. |
| 3 | Two boxes of scripts returned to CIPA by two different examiners not found | By PEB to PEB GB March | 23.03.2016 | See actions taken/planned | Checked with examiners, DHL and internal staff. Not found. Improve 'checking in' process and instructions to examiners about use of waybills on multiple packages. |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 14 Risk Register

| PEB RISK REGISTER | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|--------|--|----------------------------|------------------------|
| No | | Likelihood | Impact | Mitigation | Mitigated Likelihood score | Mitigated Impact score |
| Question papers issues | | | | | | |
| 1 | QP writing deadlines missed by examiners | LOW | MED | Criteria-based examiner selection. Examiner performance review. Hierarchy of examiners to line manage. Progress chasing by PEB Administration Agree and publish setting schedule with and to examiners | LOW | LOW |
| 2 | Inability to recruit high quality paper setters | LOW | LOW | Development of new networks. Good development and training. Annual review of remuneration Adopted item setting for LSC and PAC | LOW | LOW |
| 3 | Inadequate support/training of examiners | LOW | LOW | Review training feedback. Review examiner performance to inform training. Additional resource/personnel to commission bespoke training. | LOW | LOW |
| 4 | Undetected errors in QPs | LOW | MED | Expert/external proof reading. Continually review setting process Proof reader brief introduced Implemented tester regime in 2015 | LOW | LOW |
| 5 | Reliance on sole supplier for QP production | LOW | MED | Alternative sourced (SCD) | LOW | MED |
| 6 | Quality assurance of technical drawings from new supplier | MED | MED | Discuss requirements in advance with samples from previous examination papers. Contingency time to redraft if not suitable. Feedback to be given. | MED | MED |
| 7 | Security of QPs (soft copy) | LOW | LOW | Confidentiality clauses/contractor contracts. Examiner instructions Contingency time/funds to re-draft. Bank examination papers created | LOW | LOW |
| 8 | Security of QPs (hard copy) prior to the examination date | LOW | LOW | Confidentiality clauses/contractor contracts. Examiner instructions Contingency time/funds to re-draft. Bank examination papers created. | LOW | LOW |
| 9 | Eligibility/exemption fraud by candidates | LOW | LOW | Request copies of documentation - PEB letter and photographic identification | LOW | LOW |
| 10 | Security of materials at venue | MED | MED | Ensure close cooperation and appropriate contracting with venues | MED | LOW |
| 11 | Security of answer scripts | MED | HIGH | Ensure all clear about transit arrangements (courier) New supplier scans all answer scripts - only potential loss is in transit from venue | LOW | LOW |
| Marking issues | | | | | | |
| 12 | Conflicts of interest (declared or undeclared) | LOW | LOW | Develop form and ensure all returned and conflicts monitored | LOW | LOW |
| 13 | Failure to meet marking deadlines | MED | MED | Discuss and agreed with GB and examiners marking schedules for examiners LSC and PAC use marking day model | LOW | LOW |
| 14 | Unexpected loss of marking capacity | LOW | LOW | Reallocate scripts to other markers. | LOW | LOW |
| 15 | Poor quality of marking | LOW | LOW | Quality assurance process from examiner selection to results issue in place | LOW | LOW |
| Misc | | | | | | |
| 16 | Examination Venue defaults on contract at late notice | LOW | LOW | | LOW | LOW |
| 17 | Errors in published data | LOW | LOW | Test run and double check | LOW | LOW |
| 18 | Examination were to be cancelled | LOW | HIGH | Policy and Practice on what to do in such an event to be written | LOW | HIGH |
| 19 | Legal challenge | LOW | MED | Monitor Ensure policies and practice follow industry best practice Maintain and demonstrate transparency of policy and procedure | LOW | MED |
| Strategic issues | | | | | | |
| 20 | Insufficient candidate numbers | LOW | HIGH | Monitor | LOW | HIGH |
| 21 | Regulator policies | HIGH | HIGH | Monitor | HIGH | HIGH |
| 22 | Operational relationships with CIPA | MED | MED | Maintain effective operational communications with CIPA | MED | MED |
| 23 | Low professions confidence in PEB | LOW | HIGH | Clear communications including publishing SaR and other reports e.g. QAA | MED | MED |
| 24 | Capability/capacity of the PEB GB | LOW | MED | Monitor and appraise. Design succession planning. | LOW | LOW |
| 25 | CIPA has introduced a new database and a new website | HIGH | HIGH | Running out all 2015 examinations on old database. Working with external PM to set up 2016 examinations on new database | MED | MED |
| 26 | Litigation Skills and PAC Examinations impinge on CIPA administration time to the detriment of the Qualifying Examinations | MED | MED | Only one iteration of LSC in 2016 | LOW | LOW |
| Risks register maintained by PEB management on an at least quarterly basis | | | | | | |
| Register reported and considered by PEB GB quarterly | | | | | | |
| | Updated November 11th 2015 | | | | | |
| | Updated March 9th 2015 | | | | | |
| | Updated June 1st 2015 | | | | | |
| | Updated August 24th 2015 | | | | | |
| | Updated November 24th 2015 | | | | | |
| | Updated March 7th 2016 | | | | | |

Patent Examination Board Self-Assessment Report

Appendix 15 Examination entries

| Qualifying examinations registrations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Year | FC1 | FC2 | FC3 | FC4 | FC5 | FD1 | FD2 | FD3 | FD4 | Total Foundation | Total Finals | Overall total |
| 2015 | 59 | 49 | 55 | 56 | 42 | 172 | 111 | 108 | 230 | 261 | 621 | 882 |
| 2014 | 35 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 183 | 113 | 105 | 224 | 191 | 625 | 816 |
| 2013 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 172 | 107 | 102 | 227 | 179 | 608 | 787 |
| IPLC examination registrations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | 59 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ICPA examination registrations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 140 | | | | | | | | | | | |